The Effects of Economic Sanctions on International Relations

Mohammed B. E. Saaida¹ Azzam Amro^{1*}

¹Department of International Relations & Diplomacy, Faculty of Administration Sciences and Informatics, Al-Istiqlal University, Palestine

azzam.amro@pass.ps

The Effects of Economic Sanctions on International Relations

Abstract

The study critically aims to examine the effects of economic sanctions, drawing on a comprehensive review of existing literature to explore diplomatic tensions, global trade disruption, humanitarian impact, and sanctions for rights in the context of international relations. Economic sanctions are a widely used tool in international relations, employed by states and international organizations to address perceived violations of international norms or to influence the behavior of other states. Throughout history, the imposition of economic sanctions on several countries has been a common practice. Using a descriptive approach, primarily through case studies, it comprehensively analyzes economic sanctions' effects on international relations, covering positives, negatives, mitigation strategies, major findings, and broader implications. It discusses how sanctions impact various aspects of international relations, including diplomatic relations, trade, security, and human rights. The findings contribute to a nuanced understanding of the complex interplay between economic sanctions and international relations, providing insights for policymakers and scholars. The study concluded that economic sanctions can have both positive and negative impacts, emphasizing cautious deployment, evaluation, humanitarian focus, and balanced human rights promotion.

Keywords: Economic Sanctions, International Relations, Effectiveness, Mitigation, Effects

آثار العقوبات الاقتصادية على العلاقات الدولية

ملخص

تهدف هذه الدراسة بشكل نقدي إلى فحص تأثير العقوبات الاقتصادية، وذلك باستناد إلى استعراض شامل للأدب المموجود لاستكشاف التوترات الابلوماسية، واضطراب التجارة العالمية، والتأثير الإنساني، والعقوبات من أجل الحقوق في سياق العلاقات الدولية، والعقوبات الاقتصادية هي أداة مستخدمة على نطاق واسع في العلاقات الدولية، وتستخدم من قبل الدول والمنظمات الدولية لمعالجة انتهاكات المعايير الدولية المُدركة أو المتأثير على سلوك الدول الأخرى، وعلى مر التاريخ، كان فرض العقوبات الاقتصادية على عدة دول ممارسة شائعة. باستخدام نهج وصفي، وبشكل وعلى مر التاريخ، كان فرض العقوبات الاقتصادية على عدة دول ممارسة شائعة. باستخدام نهج وصفي، وبشكل رئيسي من خلال دراسات الحالة، يقوم هذا البحث بتحليل أثر العقوبات الاقتصادية بشكل شامل على العلاقات الدولية، مثمثملاً الجوانب الإيجابية والسلبية واستراتيجيات التخفيف والنتائج الرئيسية والتداعيات الأوسع. ويناقش البحث كيفية تأثير العقوبات على جوانب متعددة من العلاقات الدولية، بما في ذلك العلاقات الدبلوماسية، والتجارة، والأمن، وحقوق الإنسان. وتوصلت الدراسة إلى أن العقوبات الاقتصادية يمكن أن تكون لها تأثيرات إيجابية وسلبية، مع التأكيد على النهج الحذر في التنفيذ والتقييم والتركيز على الجانب الإنساني وتعزيز حقوق الإنسان بشكل متوازن.

كلمات مفتاحية: العقوبات الاقتصادية، العلاقات الدولية، الفعالية، التخفيف، التأثيرات.

Introduction

Economic sanctions, with their multifaceted implications for international relations, have been experienced by numerous countries. These sanctions can either be imposed unilaterally by one country against another or enacted collectively by the international community against a specific country or a group of nations. Unlike conventional military methods, economic sanctions are economic measures that combine punitive actions with cooperation incentives. Their cost-effectiveness can significantly disrupt global power dynamics, emphasizing the necessity for a comprehensive study to fully comprehend their profound influence on modern international relations.

The scope of the study involves a comprehensive analysis of economic sanctions' multifaceted implications on international relations, considering both negative and positive effects, as well as strategies for mitigating negative impacts and addressing humanitarian concerns. It also encompasses the presentation of major findings and their implications in the broader context of international relations and diplomacy.

Economic sanctions, as a prominent instrument of foreign policy, are employed by governments and international bodies to impose economic restrictions on specific countries or entities (Morgan et al., 2023). These measures encompass a range of forms, such as trade embargoes, financial restrictions, and other economic measures designed to exert pressure on targeted countries or entities. Economic sanctions have been utilized by nations and international organizations for diverse purposes, including promoting human rights, addressing security concerns, or deterring undesirable behavior (Afontsev, 2022).

Despite their significance, the experiences of China, Russia, Syria, Cuba, South Africa, Libya, and North Korea have shown that economic sanctions as a foreign policy tool cannot be overstated. They are often employed by countries as a means to influence the behavior of other nations without resorting to military force, and they are considered a non-kinetic approach to achieving policy objectives (Lee & Maher, 2022). Economic sanctions can provide a way for countries to signal their dissatisfaction with the actions of another country or entity and seek to bring about change in their behavior. Moreover, economic sanctions can be relatively cost-effective compared to military intervention, making them an attractive option for governments seeking to achieve their foreign policy goals (Timofeev, 2022 A; Nosratabadi, 2023).

However, the effects of economic sanctions on international relations are complex and multi-dimensional. The impacts of economic sanctions can have far-reaching consequences, affecting not only the targeted country or entity but also other countries and stakeholders

involved. Therefore, it is crucial to understand the multifaceted effects of economic sanctions on international relations (Palacios & Monaldi; 2022, Timofeev, 2022 B).

This study aims to comprehensively analyze economic sanctions' implications on international relations. It will establish significance, address study gaps, state objectives, and outline study questions. The problem statement will provide focus and context. The methodology will ensure transparency. The study investigates both negative and positive effects of sanctions, recognizing complexity. It explores mitigation strategies and humanitarian concerns. Major findings will be presented, with a discussion of their international relations implications. The study will conclude by summarizing key findings' significance in diplomacy.

Statement of the Problem:

Economic sanctions are a dual-edged sword in international relations, with intricate and far-reaching impacts that encompass diplomatic tensions, global trade disruptions, economic ramifications, humanitarian crises, and their potential as leverage in negotiations. This study addresses a critical knowledge gap by exploring into the multifaceted nature of economic sanctions and elucidating how these measures, often deployed in foreign policy, influence the dynamics of international relations. Understanding the consequences of economic sanctions, from strained diplomacy to economic turmoil and humanitarian challenges, is essential for policymakers and scholars alike. This study navigates the complex terrain of economic sanctions to offer a comprehensive view of their role in shaping global diplomacy.

Significance

This study's significance lies in its comprehensive exploration of economic sanctions as a crucial tool in foreign policy and international relations. It introduces the concept, emphasizing its diverse applications and cost-effectiveness. It underscores their non-kinetic nature and acknowledges the complexity of their effects. The clear thesis statement highlights the multifaceted nature of economic sanctions, making this text a foundational resource for understanding their role in shaping international relations. As the existing studies have failed to address this in a full manner, this study aims to fill the knowledge gap by providing a thorough understanding of how economic sanctions impact international relations, encompassing diplomatic tensions, global trade, economies, humanitarian concerns, and their role in negotiations. It seeks to reveal the intricate dynamics they introduce.

Literature Review

The topic has gained considerable attention in the academic literature in this aspect. The economic sanctions have emerged as a prominent tool in international relations, employed by states and international organizations to address various issues, such as deterring nuclear proliferation and responding to human rights violations. The application of economic sanctions is complex and multifaceted, with far-reaching consequences for both the target country and the international community. This literature review explores the diverse effects of economic sanctions on international relations by synthesizing findings from 15 key references. The review is structured around the following thematic areas: political implications, economic consequences, humanitarian impacts, and legal considerations.

The political paradoxes of economic sanctions are the subject of an investigation by (Afontsev, 2022), who highlights the intricate interplay between sanctions and international politics. Sanctions are often intended to exert pressure on a target country to change its behavior or policies. However, (Al Shaher & Zreik, 2022) observe that in the case of Sino-Iranian relations, sanctions have not only failed to deter cooperation but have also facilitated closer ties between Iran and China. This finding underscores the nuanced nature of sanctions' political outcomes, challenging conventional assumptions about their efficacy.

(Ballbach, 2022) explores into the European Union's sanctions regime against North Korea, illustrating the evolution of sanctions beyond their initial targeted scope. The study reveals how sanctions can transform into comprehensive regimes, impacting the broader international relations landscape. The analysis by (Koch, 2023; Hastings, 2022) on U.S. sanctions policy toward Iran demonstrates the importance of lobbying and coalition-building in shaping sanctions outcomes, emphasizing the role of domestic politics in international sanctions.

The economic ramifications of sanctions are examined in various contexts. (Fu, et al., 2023) explore the effects of international sanctions on green innovations, shedding light on how economic restrictions can stimulate innovation in unexpected ways. (Carey & Mitchell, 2023) investigates the impact of trade sanctions on labor markets, revealing shifts in the demand for skilled labor and wages in Iran. Furthermore, (Cipriani, et al., 2023) delve into financial market information flows in the presence of counteracting sanctions, revealing indirect effects that impact global financial systems.

The humanitarian dimension of economic sanctions is addressed by (Lee & Maher, 2022; Palacios & Monaldi, 2022), who examine the impact of sanctions on Iranians' right to health. The study underscores the importance of considering the unintended consequences of sanctions on vulnerable populations. Additionally, (Zadeh-Cummings & Harris, 2020) analyze the impact of sanctions against North Korea on humanitarian aid, highlighting the delicate balance between enforcing sanctions and ensuring the well-being of affected populations.

Economic sanctions represent a multifaceted and dynamic tool in international relations. This literature review has provided insights into the complex effects of sanctions on politics, economics, humanitarian concerns, and legal dimensions. The findings underscore the need for a nuanced understanding of sanctions' impacts, challenging conventional wisdom and highlighting the importance of considering both intended and unintended consequences. As international relations continue to evolve, further study in this area is essential for policymakers and scholars to navigate the intricate landscape of economic sanctions and their role in shaping global politics.

Objectives of the study

The main goals of this study are to examine the impacts of economic sanctions on international relations, understand their influence on diplomacy and trade, and shed light on their multifaceted nature.

- Understanding Diplomatic Tensions and Communication Breakdown: The study aims to explore how economic sanctions can exacerbate diplomatic tensions and lead to a breakdown in diplomatic communication channels between imposing and targeted nations.
- Analyzing the Disruption of Global Trade and Economic Interconnectedness: The study seeks to investigate how economic sanctions, such as trade embargoes and financial restrictions, can disrupt global trade and economic interconnectedness, impacting the economies of both the targeted nation and its trading partners.
- Assessing Humanitarian Consequences and Aid Hindrances: The study aims to assess
 the humanitarian consequences of sanctions, including their impact on vulnerable
 populations and their potential to hinder the delivery of humanitarian aid.
- Examining the Role of Economic Sanctions in Promoting Human Rights and Values: The study explores how economic sanctions can serve as a tool to promote human rights and democracy in countries violating international norms, while also acknowledging their limitations and potential unintended consequences.

Questions of the study

The primary inquiries aligning with the study's objectives are as follows:

- How do economic sanctions exacerbate diplomatic tensions between imposing and targeted nations?
- How do economic sanctions disrupt global trade activities and what are their economic consequences on both the targeted nation and its trading partners?
- What are the humanitarian consequences of economic sanctions, particularly on vulnerable populations, and how do sanctions hinder the delivery of humanitarian aid?
- How can economic sanctions effectively promote human rights and democracy in countries violating international norms, while minimizing harm to civilians and addressing potential unintended consequences?

Methodology

We have chosen the descriptive approach as the primary methodology for this study. The descriptive approach, including methods like case studies of specific sanctions instances, can offer a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted effects of economic sanctions on international relations. These methods allow for the systematic observation and documentation of nuanced perspectives and context-specific insights, shedding light on evolving diplomatic, economic, and humanitarian dynamics

Negative effects of economic sanctions on international relations

The negative effects of economic sanctions on international relations can be wideranging and complex (Morgan, et al., 2023). While economic sanctions are often employed as a foreign policy tool to achieve desired outcomes, they can have unintended consequences that can strain diplomatic relations, disrupt global trade and economic interconnectedness, and result in humanitarian consequences, including hindrances to humanitarian aid delivery. Several examples illustrate the potential negative impacts of economic sanctions on international relations, with specific experiences from Russia, North Korea, Venezuela, and Iran highlighting the complexity of these effects (Van Bergeijk, 2021).

Firstly, economic sanctions can lead to diplomatic tensions and the potential breakdown of communication channels between countries such as the imposed economic sanctions imposed by the United States of America and the European Union against Iran. The

imposition of economic sanctions can be perceived as an aggressive act by the imposing country, and the targeted country may interpret it as an infringement on their sovereignty or an act of hostility (Morgan, et al., 2023). This can lead to a breakdown in diplomatic communication channels, including diplomatic dialogues, negotiations, and diplomatic visits. Diplomatic tensions can escalate, and reciprocal measures or retaliatory actions may be taken by the targeted country or other countries sympathetic to their cause (Afontsev, 2022). This can strain bilateral or multilateral relations result in the expulsion of diplomats, and even lead to the severing of diplomatic ties, further exacerbating international tensions and creating obstacles to resolving disputes or conflicts through diplomatic means.

Secondly, economic sanctions can disrupt global trade and economic interconnectedness. Trade embargoes, financial restrictions, and other economic measures can disrupt the flow of goods, services, and investments between countries (Van Bergeijk, 2021). This can result in economic hardships for the targeted country, including reduced economic growth, increased unemployment, and decreased living standards. Moreover, other countries that have economic ties with the targeted country can also be affected. The disruption of trade can lead to negative economic repercussions, including damage to global economic relations, financial instability, and negative impacts on businesses and industries in multiple countries (Timofeev, 2022 A). Strained economic relations between countries impede efforts to foster economic cooperation and development, which are crucial elements of international relations. The clearest example of this is the sanctions over Russia which is working with the BRICS group to go far away from the dominance of the dollar.

Thirdly, economic sanctions can have humanitarian consequences, including hindrances to humanitarian aid delivery (Moret, 2015). In some cases, economic sanctions may hinder the delivery of humanitarian aid, including food, medicine, and other essential supplies, to the targeted country. Syria, Yemen, and Iraq passed through this experience. This can exacerbate existing humanitarian crises, particularly among vulnerable populations such as children, the elderly, and those in need of medical care (Timofeev, 2022 B). The inability to access essential humanitarian aid can result in increased suffering, deteriorating health conditions, and even loss of life, which can be viewed as a violation of human rights. This can also lead to international criticism and condemnation of the imposing country or international body, further straining diplomatic relations and tarnishing their reputation (Zadeh-Cummings & Harris, 2020).

There have been several examples of diplomatic strain and negative impacts on international relations due to economic sanctions (Shingal, 2023). For instance, the economic sanctions imposed on Iran by the United States and other countries over its nuclear program have resulted in strained diplomatic relations between Iran and these countries (Kohl, 2021). The sanctions have led to a breakdown of communication channels, with limited opportunities for diplomatic dialogues and negotiations, and reciprocal measures taken by Iran in response (Nosratabadi, 2023). Similarly, the economic sanctions imposed on Russia by the European Union and the United States over its actions in Ukraine have strained diplomatic relations between Russia and these countries, resulting in the expulsion of diplomats and severed diplomatic ties (Van Bergeijk, 2022).

The economic sanctions imposed on North Korea by the United Nations and other countries over its nuclear weapons program have also led to diplomatic tensions and strained relations. The sanctions have impeded diplomatic efforts to resolve the North Korean nuclear issue through negotiations, and reciprocal measures have been taken by North Korea in response (Haggard & Noland, 2023). Moreover, the economic sanctions imposed on Venezuela by the United States and other countries over its political and human rights situation have resulted in strained diplomatic relations, disrupted trade, and humanitarian consequences, including hindrances to humanitarian aid delivery (Lee & Maher, 2022; Palacios & Monaldi, 2022).

In conclusion, economic sanctions can have negative effects on international relations, including diplomatic tensions, disruptions of global trade and economic interconnectedness, humanitarian consequences, and strained diplomatic relations. The unintended consequences of these measures highlight the importance of careful consideration and assessment of the broader impact of economic sanctions on both the targeted countries and the international community.

Positive Effects of Economic Sanctions on International Relations

Economic sanctions, despite their potential negative impacts, can also have positive effects on international relations. When used judiciously and strategically, economic sanctions can promote human rights, democracy, and other values, provide leverage in diplomatic negotiations and conflict resolution, and serve as a diplomatic tool to achieve desired outcomes (Meyer, et al., 2023). While the negative consequences of economic sanctions should be carefully considered, it is important to acknowledge that economic sanctions can also yield positive results in certain situations (Fu, et al., 2023).

Firstly, economic sanctions can be employed to promote human rights, democracy, and other values in countries that violate these principles. Economic sanctions can be targeted at specific individuals, entities, or sectors involved in human rights abuses, corruption, or other activities against international norms and values (Carey & Mitchell, 2023). For example, in the case of South Africa during the apartheid era, economic sanctions were used to promote racial equality and democracy (Morgan, 2023). International sanctions against the apartheid regime, including trade embargoes, investment restrictions, and financial sanctions, were instrumental in putting pressure on the government to dismantle the system of apartheid and initiate democratic reforms (Cipriani, et al., 2023). Economic sanctions played a significant role in shaping the political landscape of South Africa, ultimately leading to the establishment of a multi-racial democracy.

Secondly, economic sanctions can provide leverage in diplomatic negotiations and conflict resolution. By imposing economic costs on a targeted country, economic sanctions can create an incentive for them to come to the negotiating table and seek a resolution to the issue at hand. Economic sanctions can increase the costs of maintaining a particular stance or policy, thereby encouraging countries to reconsider their position and be more willing to engage in diplomatic dialogue and negotiations (Carey & Mitchell, 2023). For instance, economic sanctions have been used as a diplomatic tool in negotiations with countries like Iran and North Korea to address concerns about their nuclear programs (Rafique & Nadeem, 2023). The imposition of economic sanctions has created leverage for the international community to engage in diplomatic negotiations and seek agreements to limit or dismantle their nuclear capabilities. Economic sanctions have been used as a means to pressure these countries to change their behavior and come to the negotiating table, leading to important diplomatic breakthroughs.

Thirdly, economic sanctions can serve as a diplomatic tool to achieve desired outcomes (Morgan, et al., 2023). Economic sanctions can be imposed as a form of diplomatic signaling, demonstrating a country's disapproval or condemnation of a particular behavior or policy. Economic sanctions can send a strong message to the targeted country and the international community about the seriousness of the issue and the willingness of the imposing country to take action. For example, economic sanctions have been used as a diplomatic tool in response to Russia's annexation of Crimea in 2014 (Szulecki & Overland, 2023). The United States and its allies imposed economic sanctions on Russia, targeting key sectors of its economy, as a response to the violation of Ukraine's territorial integrity (Van Bergeijk, 2022). The imposition of economic sanctions served as a diplomatic signal of condemnation and pressure on Russia to reverse its actions in Crimea and engage in a peaceful resolution of the conflict. One of the positive effects is that both Russia and China had created a tool for the economic financial transitions between them.

While economic sanctions can have negative impacts on international relations, it is important to recognize that they can also have positive effects in certain circumstances. Economic sanctions can promote human rights, democracy, and other values, provide leverage in diplomatic negotiations and conflict resolution, and serve as a diplomatic tool to achieve desired outcomes (Meyer, et al., 2023).

However, it is crucial for policymakers to carefully consider the potential consequences and implications of economic sanctions and to employ them judiciously and strategically. Economic sanctions should be accompanied by diplomatic engagement, mediation, and other diplomatic tools to achieve long-term solutions and minimize unintended negative consequences (Mallinson & Johnson, 2023). A balanced and nuanced approach is essential to effectively utilize economic sanctions as a foreign policy tool in international relations. As with any foreign policy tool, economic sanctions are not without their limitations and potential risks. They can strain diplomatic relations, disrupt global trade and economic interconnectedness, and impact civilian populations, underscoring the need for careful assessment and ethical considerations in their application.

Mitigation of Negative Effects and Addressing Humanitarian Concerns

In today's complex global landscape, challenges and crises often arise, including conflicts, disasters, pandemics, and economic instability, with significant negative effects on vulnerable populations. Mitigation of the negative effects of sanctions and addressing humanitarian concerns in the context of sanctions implementation is a complex issue that requires careful consideration and action (Szulecki & Overland, 2023). The coming examples show ways to mitigate the negative effects of sanctions and address humanitarian concerns. The policymakers tried to find a balance between achieving their policy goals and minimizing harm to innocent civilians affected by sanctions

China has experienced economic sanctions in various forms throughout its history, and addressing humanitarian concerns is essential. Here are some potential approaches to mitigate the negative effects of sanctions and address humanitarian concerns:

- Humanitarian Exemptions: Designing and implementing sanctions regimes with clear and robust humanitarian exemptions can help ensure that essential goods and services, such as food, medicine, and humanitarian aid, are not impeded by sanctions (Kokabisaghi, 2018). This can be achieved through specific carve-outs or waivers that allow for the unhindered flow of humanitarian assistance to vulnerable populations, including those affected by the sanctions.

- Targeted Sanctions: Implementing sanctions that are narrowly targeted at specific individuals, entities, or sectors, rather than broad-based sanctions that affect entire populations, can minimize the negative impact on innocent civilians. Careful consideration of the scope and duration of sanctions can also help prevent unintended humanitarian consequences (Draca, et al., 2022; Conlon, et al., 2023).
- Russia has faced economic sanctions, particularly in response to its actions in Ukraine. To address humanitarian concerns:
- Monitoring and Reporting Mechanisms: Establishing independent monitoring and reporting mechanisms to assess the humanitarian impact of sanctions can provide valuable feedback and help identify and address any unintended consequences (Earnhart, & Friesen, 2023). This can involve regular assessments, evaluations, and reporting on the humanitarian situation in sanctioned countries to ensure that any negative effects are identified and addressed in a timely manner.
- Syria has been the target of extensive sanctions due to its ongoing conflict. To alleviate humanitarian concerns:
- Diplomatic Engagement: Engaging in diplomatic efforts with the sanctioned country to find alternative ways to address the underlying issues without resorting to sanctions can be a proactive approach. Diplomatic engagement can facilitate dialogue, negotiation, and cooperation to find solutions that minimize the impact on innocent civilians and uphold humanitarian principles (Koch, 2023; Hastings, 2022).
- Cuba has faced economic sanctions from the United States for decades. To address humanitarian concerns:
- Humanitarian Aid and Assistance: Supporting and facilitating humanitarian aid and assistance to affected populations can help mitigate the negative effects of sanctions (Ballbach, 2022). This can involve providing financial, logistical, and technical support to humanitarian organizations and agencies that are delivering life-saving assistance in sanctioned countries (Schmidt, 2022).
- Capacity Building and Development: Investing in capacity building and development programs in sanctioned countries can help strengthen their resilience to cope with the impacts of sanctions (Meyer, et al., 2023). This can include support for education, healthcare, infrastructure, and economic development initiatives that contribute to the well-being of the local populations.

- Iran is an example of a country that has engaged in multilateral cooperation with other countries despite facing economic sanctions:
- Multilateral Cooperation: Engaging in multilateral cooperation with other countries, international organizations, and stakeholders can help coordinate efforts to address humanitarian concerns and mitigate the negative effects of sanctions (Korgun, 2022). This can involve collaboration in humanitarian aid delivery, policy coordination, and joint efforts to find alternative solutions to the issues that led to sanctions (Al Shaher & Zreik, 2022).
- It is important to note that the implementation of sanctions and their impact on humanitarian concerns is a complex and challenging issue. Balancing the need for diplomatic, economic, and political pressure with the imperative to protect innocent civilians and uphold humanitarian principles requires careful consideration, coordination, and continuous monitoring to ensure that the negative effects of sanctions are minimized, and humanitarian concerns are adequately addressed. These strategies should be adapted and tailored to the specific circumstances and challenges faced by each sanctioned country, acknowledging the uniqueness of their situations and the evolving nature of international relations.

Major Findings

The major findings of this study on the effects of economic sanctions on international relations underscore the multifaceted and nuanced nature of this foreign policy tool. Economic sanctions can have both negative and positive effects, depending on how they are crafted and implemented. The negative economic effects of sanctions include a decline in the target country's economy, political instability, and damage to relations with the sanctioning countries. However, sanctions can also be used to achieve positive foreign policy goals, such as deterring aggression, promoting democracy, or preventing human rights abuses. The findings of this study underscore the importance of carefully crafting and implementing sanctions to balance diplomatic and political objectives with the humanitarian imperative. Mitigating the negative economic effects of sanctions and addressing humanitarian concerns require a multidimensional approach that combines targeted measures, monitoring, diplomatic engagement, and international cooperation.

Diplomatic Tensions and Communication Breakdown: Economic sanctions can
exacerbate diplomatic tensions between imposing and targeted nations, leading to a
breakdown in diplomatic communication channels. This can lead to the suspension
or curtailment of dialogues, negotiations, and visits by diplomats, escalating tensions

- and hindering peaceful conflict resolution, resulting in a lack of understanding between nations.
- Disruption of Global Trade and Economic Interconnectedness: Economic sanctions, such as trade embargoes and financial restrictions, can disrupt trade activities between countries, affecting the targeted nation's economy and affecting other countries with economic ties. This can lead to reduced economic growth, increased unemployment, and lower living standards. The global economic ecosystem can also be affected, causing financial instability and straining economic relations, hindering economic cooperation and development.
- Humanitarian Consequences and Aid Hindrances: Economic sanctions can have severe humanitarian consequences, especially when a targeted country relies on imports for essential goods like food and medicine. Sanctions can hinder aid delivery, exacerbate humanitarian crises, and damage the reputation of the imposing country or international body responsible for sanctions. This can strain diplomatic relations and tarnish the image of those involved in sanctions.
- Promoting Human Rights and Values: Economic sanctions are a strategic tool used to promote human rights and democracy in countries violating international norms.
 They target individuals, entities, and sectors responsible for activities against these principles, exerting pressure to change behavior and align with principles, as seen in South Africa's apartheid era.
- Providing Leverage in Diplomatic Negotiations: Economic sanctions can be leveraged in diplomatic negotiations and conflict resolution by incentivizing countries to engage in dialogue and seek resolutions. This approach has been effective in negotiations with countries like Iran and North Korea, leading to significant breakthroughs in nuclear programs.
- Diplomatic Signaling: Economic sanctions serve as a diplomatic tool to signal a
 country's disapproval of specific behavior or policies, conveying a clear message
 to the targeted nation and the international community about the seriousness of the
 issue and their commitment to taking action.
- Humanitarian Exemptions: Sanctions regimes should have clear humanitarian exemptions, such as carve-outs or waivers, to prevent the impeded flow of essential goods and services, such as food and aid, to vulnerable populations, thereby preventing unintended consequences.

- Targeted Sanctions: Focusing on specific individuals, entities, or sectors in sanctions, rather than broad-based ones, can reduce civilian harm and prevent unintended humanitarian consequences. This approach allows policymakers to balance their objectives with protecting vulnerable populations.
- Monitoring and Reporting Mechanisms: Independent monitoring and reporting
 mechanisms are crucial for assessing the humanitarian impact of sanctions,
 providing feedback to identify and address unintended consequences, and enabling
 policymakers to make informed decisions and take corrective actions to minimize
 harm to civilians.

Discussion

The use of economic sanctions is a complex and controversial issue in international relations. Sanctions can have both positive and negative effects, and their effectiveness is often difficult to measure. In this section, we will discuss the major findings of a study on the effects of economic sanctions on international relations. We will focus on the following key issues: diplomatic tensions and communication breakdown, disruption of global trade and economic interconnectedness, humanitarian consequences and aid hindrances, promoting human rights and values, providing leverage in diplomatic negotiations, diplomatic signaling, humanitarian exemptions, targeted sanctions, and monitoring and reporting mechanisms

Diplomatic Tensions and Communication Breakdown:

Economic sanctions can exacerbate diplomatic tensions between imposing and targeted nations. Imposing sanctions may lead to a breakdown in diplomatic communication channels, such as the suspension or curtailing of dialogues, negotiations, and visits by diplomats. This can further escalate tensions and hinder peaceful conflict resolution. There are several reasons why economic sanctions can lead to diplomatic tensions. First, sanctions are often seen as a hostile act, and the targeted country may retaliate by imposing its own sanctions or taking other measures to harm the interests of the imposing country. Second, sanctions can damage the reputation of the imposing country, making it more difficult to build trust and cooperation with the targeted country. Third, sanctions can create a sense of grievance and resentment among the people of the targeted country, making them less likely to support a peaceful resolution of the conflict.

Disruption of Global Trade and Economic Interconnectedness:

Economic sanctions, such as trade embargoes and financial restrictions, can disrupt trade activities between countries. This can affect the targeted nation's economy and other

countries with economic ties. The disruption of trade can have a significant impact on the targeted country's economy. When the country is cut off from its trading partners, it can no longer import essential goods and services, such as food, medicine, and raw materials. This can lead to shortages and price increases, which can hurt businesses and consumers. The disruption of trade can also lead to job losses, as businesses are forced to lay off workers. The disruption of trade can also have a ripple effect on other countries. When one country's economy is hurt, it can also hurt the economies of its trading partners. This is because the countries are interconnected, and a slowdown in one country can lead to a slowdown in others

Humanitarian Consequences and Aid Hindrances:

The humanitarian consequences of sanctions are a major concern. When sanctions make it difficult for people to get the essential goods and services they need, they can have a devastating impact on the most vulnerable people. This can lead to malnutrition, disease, and even death. Sanctions can also hinder the delivery of humanitarian aid. Humanitarian organizations may be reluctant to operate in sanctioned countries, as they may be subject to sanctions themselves. This can make it difficult to get aid to those who need it most.

The humanitarian consequences of sanctions can be a major source of criticism of this foreign policy tool. When sanctions are seen as causing more harm than good, they can damage the reputation of the imposing country or international body. This can make it more difficult to build support for sanctions in the future. When considering the use of economic sanctions, it is important to weigh the potential benefits and costs. Sanctions can be a powerful tool to achieve foreign policy goals, but they should not be used lightly.

Promoting Human Rights and Values:

Economic sanctions can be a powerful tool to promote human rights and democracy. However, it is important to use them carefully and to be aware of their limitations. Economic sanctions can be a strategic tool used to promote human rights and democracy in countries violating international norms. They target individuals, entities, and sectors responsible for activities against these principles by exerting pressure to change behavior and align with principles, as seen in South Africa's apartheid era.

Economic sanctions can be an effective tool for promoting human rights and democracy. By targeting the individuals and entities responsible for human rights abuses, sanctions can put pressure on them to change their behavior. Sanctions can also help to isolate the targeted country and make it more difficult for it to continue its human rights abuses. However, it is important to note that economic sanctions are not a panacea. They can be difficult to implement and enforce. They can also have unintended consequences, and it is also important to ensure that sanctions are targeted in a way that minimizes harm to civilians.

Providing Leverage in Diplomatic Negotiations:

Economic sanctions can be a useful tool for providing leverage in diplomatic negotiations. By threatening to impose or lift sanctions, the imposing country can give the targeted country an incentive to negotiate. This can be an effective way to resolve conflicts and achieve a peaceful resolution. Sanctions can be used to pressure the targeted country to make concessions or to change its behavior. They can also be used to signal the seriousness of the imposing country's commitment to resolving the conflict.

However, economic sanctions are not always effective in achieving diplomatic goals. In some cases, the targeted country may be willing to bear the costs of sanctions in order to achieve its own objectives. Additionally, sanctions can sometimes backfire, leading to increased resistance and making it more difficult to reach a negotiated settlement.

Diplomatic Signaling:

Economic sanctions serve as a diplomatic tool to signal a country's disapproval of specific behavior or policies. This can be done by conveying a clear message to the targeted nation and the international community about the seriousness of the issue and their commitment to taking action.

Diplomatic signaling is an important part of international relations. By imposing sanctions, a country can signal its disapproval of another country's behavior and make it clear that it is willing to take action to address the issue. This can be an effective way to deter future violations of international norms and to promote compliance with international law.

Still, it is important to note that diplomatic signaling is not always effective. In some cases, the targeted country may not be deterred by sanctions, and may even see them as a sign of weakness. Additionally, sanctions can sometimes backfire, leading to increased resentment and making it more difficult to achieve the desired outcome.

Humanitarian Exemptions:

Sanctions regimes should have clear humanitarian exemptions. This means that essential goods and services, such as food and aid, should be exempt from sanctions. This is to prevent the impeded flow of essential goods and services to vulnerable populations, thereby preventing unintended consequences. Humanitarian exemptions are important to ensure that sanctions do not have unintended consequences on civilians. By exempting essential goods and services, sanctions can be made more effective in achieving their objectives while minimizing harm to civilians.

Humanitarian exemptions can be difficult to implement and enforce. The imposing country must be able to monitor the flow of goods and services to ensure that they are not being diverted to unintended recipients. Additionally, the targeted country may not be willing to cooperate with the implementation of humanitarian exemptions.

Targeted Sanctions:

Focusing on specific individuals, entities, or sectors in sanctions, rather than broad-based ones, can reduce civilian harm and prevent unintended humanitarian consequences. This is because targeted sanctions are more precise and can be tailored to the specific behavior or policies that the imposing country is trying to change. This can help to minimize harm to civilians, who are often the unintended victims of broad-based sanctions. This approach allows policymakers to balance their objectives with protecting vulnerable populations.

By targeting sanctions on the individuals and entities responsible for the behavior or policies, policymakers can still achieve their objectives while minimizing harm to civilians. However, targeted sanctions can also be difficult to implement and enforce. The imposing country must be able to identify and target the individuals, entities, or sectors that are responsible for the behavior or policies that it is trying to change. Additionally, the targeted country may not be willing to cooperate with the implementation of targeted sanctions.

Monitoring and Reporting Mechanisms:

Independent monitoring and reporting mechanisms are crucial for assessing the humanitarian impact of sanctions. This means that they are not controlled by the imposing country or the targeted country. It is important to ensure that the monitoring and reporting is impartial and objective.

Monitoring and reporting mechanisms can provide feedback to identify and address unintended consequences. This means that they can identify the negative effects of sanctions that were not intended by the imposing country. This information can be used to make changes to the sanctions regime to minimize harm to civilians. Also, these mechanisms can enable policymakers to make informed decisions and take corrective actions to minimize harm to civilians. This means that they can provide policymakers with the information they need to make decisions about whether to continue, modify, or lift sanctions.

Additionally, the process can be expensive and time-consuming to establish and maintain. This is because they require the collection and analysis of data, as well as the coordination of different actors. Additionally, the targeted country may not be willing to cooperate with monitoring and reporting mechanisms. This can make it difficult to collect accurate data and to ensure that the mechanisms are effective.

Conclusion

This study has investigated the complex and multifaceted realm of economic sanctions and their impact on international relations. It is evident from the major findings that economic sanctions wield a dual-edged sword, capable of both positive and negative outcomes, contingent upon their design and execution. As we draw this discussion to a close, several key takeaways emerge, underscoring the critical need for nuanced, ethical, and strategically calibrated approaches to economic sanctions.

First and foremost, economic sanctions possess the capacity to exacerbate diplomatic tensions and communication breakdowns between nations. Rather than fostering constructive dialogue, they can serve as barriers to diplomatic engagement, potentially escalating conflicts. The imposition of sanctions must be approached with prudence and accompanied by efforts to preserve open channels of communication.

Secondly, the disruption of global trade and economic interconnectedness cannot be underestimated. Economic sanctions, particularly in the form of trade embargoes and financial restrictions, can cast a shadow not only on the targeted nation's economy but also on the broader global economic ecosystem. The resulting economic hardships can lead to adverse consequences, including political instability and strained international relations. Thus, a judicious evaluation of the economic repercussions is imperative in any sanctions strategy.

Thirdly, humanitarian concerns emerge as a paramount issue in the context of economic sanctions. While sanctions can serve foreign policy objectives, they can also inflict severe humanitarian consequences, with vulnerable populations bearing the brunt. Access to essential goods and humanitarian aid may be impeded, leading to malnutrition, disease, and suffering. To navigate this ethical dilemma, clear humanitarian exemptions and meticulous monitoring mechanisms are indispensable components of sanctions regimes.

Fourth, economic sanctions can be harnessed as tools for promoting human rights and democratic values. However, their application requires a delicate balance between achieving policy objectives and safeguarding civilians, entailing a targeted approach that minimizes harm to innocent populations.

Economic sanctions can be a powerful tool in diplomatic negotiations, incentivizing dialogue and facilitating conflict resolution. However, their effectiveness in achieving diplomatic goals varies, and policymakers must be aware of the potential for unintended consequences. Diplomatic signaling through sanctions plays a vital role in international relations, conveying disapproval of undesirable behavior or policies. However, the

efficacy of such signaling depends on the target's interpretation and response, introducing an element of unpredictability.

Economic sanctions are complex tool that require careful consideration and ethical implementation. While they can achieve foreign policy goals and promote international norms, they must be deployed with precision and consideration for humanitarian concerns. The intricacies of international relations underscore the need for policymakers to weigh the potential benefits and costs of sanctions, recognizing their limitations and potential unintended consequences. In an interconnected world, the ethical and strategic calibration of sanctions is a testament to the evolving landscape of international diplomacy.

To address the myriad challenges associated with economic sanctions, several recommendations emerge:

- Clear Humanitarian Exemptions: Sanctions regimes should include clear humanitarian exemptions to ensure the flow of essential goods and services, such as food and aid, to vulnerable populations is not impeded. This is crucial to prevent unintended consequences and minimize harm to civilians. Implementing and enforcing these exemptions, though challenging, is vital to maintain the sanctions' effectiveness.
- Targeted Sanctions: Utilize targeted sanctions, focusing on specific individuals, entities, or sectors responsible for undesirable behavior or policies, rather than employing broad-based sanctions. Targeted sanctions are more precise and can help achieve policy objectives while minimizing harm to civilians. However, their implementation and enforcement require careful identification of responsible parties.
- Independent Monitoring and Reporting Mechanisms: Establish impartial and objective monitoring and reporting mechanisms that are not controlled by either the imposing or targeted country. These mechanisms are crucial for assessing the humanitarian impact of sanctions and identifying unintended consequences. They provide policymakers with essential data to make informed decisions about the continuation, modification, or lifting of sanctions.
- Balanced Use of Economic Sanctions: Policymakers should carefully weigh the
 potential benefits and costs of economic sanctions. While sanctions can serve as
 diplomatic signaling tools and provide leverage in negotiations, their humanitarian
 consequences should be considered. Sanctions should be used judiciously, with a
 clear understanding of their limitations, to avoid causing more harm than good.

References

- Afontsev, S. A. (2022). Political paradoxes of economic sanctions, Herald of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 92(Suppl 13), S1225-S1229.
- Al Shaher, S., & Zreik, M. (2022). Sino-Iranian Relations: More Cooperation Despite Sanctions. resmilitaris, 12(2), 637-649.
- Ballbach, E. J. (2022). Moving beyond targeted sanctions: the sanctions regime of the European Union against North Korea. SSOAR. 1-39.
- Carey, H., & Mitchell, S. M. (2023). Introduction: Re-Conceptualizing Legalization— The Positive and Negative Uses and Effects of International Law. In Legalization of International Law and Politics: Multi-Level Governance of Human Rights and Aggression (pp. 1-61). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.100717-17169-031-3-978/.
- Cipriani, M., Goldberg, L. S., & La Spada, G. (2023). Financial Sanctions, SWIFT, and the Architecture of the International Payments System. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4336483.
- Conlon, T., Corbet, S., Goodell, J. W., Hou, G., Hu, Y., & Oxley, L. (2023). Financial Market Information Flows When Counteracting Rogue States: The Indirect Effects of Targeted Sanction Packages. Available at SSRN 4318150. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4318150.
- Draca, M., Garred, J., Stickland, L., & Warrinnier, N. (2022). On Target? Sanctions and the Economic Interests of Elite Policymakers in Iran. The Economic Journal, 133(649), 159–200. https://doi.org/10.1093/ej/ueac042.
- Earnhart, D., & Friesen, L. (2023). Certainty of punishment versus severity of punishment: enforcement of environmental protection laws. Land Economics, 99(2), 245-264. https://doi.org/10.3368/le.0305210024-r1.
- Fu, Q., Gong, Q., Zhao, X. X., & Chang, C. P. (2023). The effects of international sanctions on green innovations. Technological and Economic Development of Economy, 29(1), 141-164. https://doi.org/10.3846/tede.2022.17782.
- Haggard, S., & Noland, M. (2023). Economic Sanctions During Humanitarian Emergencies: The Case of North Korea. Asia-Pacific Issues, (157), 1-8.
- Hastings, J. V. (2022). United Nations Sanctions and North Korean Diplomatic Engagement with the International Community. North Korea's Foreign Policy: The Kim Jong-un Regime in a Hostile World, 149.

- Koch, M. (2023). The power of coalitions: lobbying success in US sanctions policy toward Iran from 2007 to 2016. Interest Groups & Advocacy, 1-23. https://doi.org/10.1057/s4130900176--022-x.
- Kohl, T. (2021). In and out of the penalty box: US sanctions and their effects on international trade. In Research Handbook on Economic Sanctions (pp. 388-410). Edward Elgar Publishing. https://doi.org/10.43379781839102721.00030/.
- Kokabisaghi, F. (2018). Assessment of the effects of economic sanctions on Iranians' right to health by using human rights impact assessment tool: a systematic review. International journal of health policy and management, 7(5), 374.
- Korgun, I. A. (2022). Russia-Vietnam cooperation under international sanctions against Russia. Asia and Africa Today, (10), 6-14. https://doi.org/10.31857/s0321507500227181-.
- Lee, J., & Maher, R. (2022). US economic statecraft and great power competition. Business and Politics, 24(4), 332-347. https://doi.org/10.1017/bap.2022.19.
- Mallinson, S., & Johnson, R. (2023). The discourses of the anti-apartheid sanctions movement in the United States, 1972–86. Safundi, 1-24.
- Meyer, K. E., Fang, T., Panibratov, A. Y., Peng, M. W., & Gaur, A. (2023). International business under sanctions. Journal of World Business, 58(2), 101426. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2023.101426.
- Moret, E. S. (2015). Humanitarian impacts of economic sanctions on Iran and Syria. European security, 24(1), 120-140. https://doi.org/10.108009662839.2014.89/3427.
- Morgan, E. J. (2023). The higher morality: Students for a Democratic Society confronts apartheid. Safundi, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.108017533171.2023.2165017/.
- Morgan, T. C., Syropoulos, C., & Yotov, Y. V. (2023). Economic sanctions: Evolution, consequences, and challenges. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 37(1), 3-29. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.37.1.3.
- Nosratabadi, J. (2023). The impact of trade sanctions on the relative demand for skilled labor and wages: Evidence from Iran. The Journal of International Trade & Economic Development, 32(2), 219-239. https://doi.org/10.108009638199.2022.2083216/.
- Palacios, L., & Monaldi, F. (2022). Venezuela Oil Sanctions: Not an Easy Fix. Columbia: Center on Global Energy Policy. Online: https://www.energypolicy.columbia.edu/research/commentary/venezuela-oil-sanctions-not-easy-fix# edn4.

- Rafique, S., & Nadeem, D. (2023). Politics of US Sanctions Against Iran: An Analysis Since 2000. UCP Journal of Humanities & Social Sciences, 1(02), 35-53.
- Schmidt, J. (2022). The legality of unilateral extra-territorial sanctions under international law. Journal of Conflict and Security Law, 27(1), 53-81. https://doi.org/10.1093/jcsl/krac005.
- Shingal, A. (2023). Sanctions and Services Trade: The Neglected Dimension. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4328515
- Szulecki, K., & Overland, I. (2023). Russian nuclear energy diplomacy and its implications for energy security in the context of the war in Ukraine. Nature Energy, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s415605-01228-023-.
- Timofeev, I. N. (2022 A). An Executive Dimension of the US Sanctions Policy. Mirovaia ekonomika i mezhdunarodnye otnosheniia, 66(3), 23-32. https://doi.org/10.2054232-23-3-66-2022-2227-0131/.
- Timofeev, I. N. (2022 B). Britain's Sanctions Policy: Institutional Design and Targeting Russia. Herald of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 92(Suppl 6), S504-S511. https://doi.org/10.1134/s1019331622120103.
- Van Bergeijk, P. A. (2021). Introduction to the Research handbook on economic sanctions. In Research Handbook on Economic Sanctions (pp. 1-24). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Van Bergeijk, P. A. (2022). Economic sanctions and the Russian war on Ukraine: a critical comparative appraisal. International Institute of Social Studies working paper, 699.
- Zadeh-Cummings, N., & Harris, L. (2020). The Impact of Sanctions against North Korea on Humanitarian Aid. Journal of Humanitarian Affairs, 2(1), 44–52. https://doi.org/10.7227/jha.033.